Sullivan, Robert L. (for Monique M. Hutchings – Administrator with Will Annexed

### Probate Status Hearing RE: First or Final Account

DOD: 4/5/03	EDWARD L. MYERS, JR. and MONIQUE M.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	HUTCHINGS, were appointed as Co- Administrator's with Will Annexed with Full	1 No. 18
	IAEA without bond on 8/19/03.	Need first account or petition for final distribution or written
	Letters of Administration with Will Annexed were issued on 08/19/13.	status report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	10 A Tabal, \$00 4 001 /1	
Verified	I&A Total: \$894,281.61	
Inventory	On 3/16/05, the Court granted a <b>Petition for</b>	
PTC	Preliminary Distribution and Statutory Fees.	
Not.Cred.	Nothing further was filed until 5/21/13,	
Notice of	when <b>MONIQUE M. HUTCHINGS</b> filed an Ex Parte Petition for Amended Letters	
Hrg	following the death of Co-Administrator	
Aff.Mail	Edward L. Meyers, Jr.	
Aff.Pub.	Thereafter, the Court set a status hearing	
Sp.Ntc.	for failure to file a first account or petition	
Pers.Serv.	for final distribution.	
Conf. Screen	After various hearings, the Court issued an	
Letters	Order to Show Cause re failure to file a first account or petition for final distribution for	
Duties/Supp	6/16/15.	
Objections	See Page 2 re status report filed 6/12/15.	
Video		
Receipt	Minute Order 6/16/15 states Counsel reports that they need to keep the estate	
CI Report	open until the property sells due to	
9202	environmental issues. The property is now listed and there is a possible offer pending.	
Order	He requests 6 months.	
Aff. Posting	The Court vacated the OCC and as their	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	The Court vacated the OSC and set this status hearing re first or final account.	Reviewed on: 12/9/15
UCCJEA Citation		Updates: Recommendation:
FTB Notice	-	
FIB NOTICE		File 2 - Myers

#### Page 2

**Status Report filed 06/12/15 states**: most of the property was distributed to the beneficiaries in a preliminary distribution by order of this Court on 06/02/05. The estate contains a bank account with a balance of approximately \$150,000.00 at this time. The Court also allowed the Co-Administrators to form an LLC to hold the remaining assets of the estate, namely, four parcels of environmentally contaminated real property. Pursuant to the Court's order, the Elm & Church, LLC was formed and currently holds a bank account with a balance of \$50,000.00 as well as the four parcels of real property. At the time of decedent's death, an environmental contamination problem related to previously installed underground fuel storage tanks had been discovered and was in the process of being analyzed and remediated by the Decedent utilizing Krazan & Associates ("Krazan").

Prior to forming Elm & Church, LLC, the Administrator and her counsel retained environmental specialist Alexandra Bannon who advised that due to the contamination problems of the Property, it was imperative to keep the beneficiaries of the estate out of the chain of title to the Property in order to avoid personal liability under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA).

The environmental contamination on one of the parcels has been cleaned up, but the remainder of the property has undergone no remediation whatsoever, and by reason of its close proximity to the remediated parcel, is suspected of being environmentally contaminated.

The Administrator has made diligent efforts to sell the property during the past two years, and at one time had the property in escrow for sale. However, the buyer failed to perform.

The Administrator continues to try to sell the property and is working with brokers to that end. However, to date, no such sale has materialized. Accordingly, the Administrator requests that, in accordance with the advice of the estates environmental counsel, that the administration of the estate be allowed to continue until a sale of the property can be effected.

Attorney Attorney Poochigian, Mark S. (for Petitioner Ann L. Kromberg, Administrator)

Krause Stofania L (for Puth P. Lind, former Attorney for Administrator Ann

Krause, Stefanie J. (for Ruth P. Lind, former Attorney for Administrator Ann Kromberg)

### Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Receipts for Fees by Attorney Ruth P. Lind

DOD: 6/10/1991	RUTH P. LIND, former Attorney for Administrator	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Ann Kromberg, filed a Petition for Allowance of	
	Ordinary and Extraordinary Fees on 6/22/2015.	OFF CALENDAR
Court from	Order Allowing Attorneys' Fees was filed	Receipt by Ruth P. Lind of
Cont. from	10/7/2015.	First Installment of Attorney's
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Minute Order dated 0/15/0015 and this startus	Fees filed 10/20/2015.
Verified	Minute Order dated 9/15/2015 set this status hearing on 12/15/2015 for filing of receipts by	
Inventory	Ms. Lind.	Receipt by Ruth P. Lind of
PTC	- No. Elito.	Second and Final
Not.Cred.		Installment of Attorney's
Notice of		Fees filed 11/16/2015.
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 3B - Kromberg

**3B** 

4A Joann L. McClay (Estate)

Case No. 06CEPR00372

Atty Alexander, Thomas M., Jr. (of Beverly Hills, CA, for Former Administrator Jeffrey McClay)

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator)

Atty Stevenson, Tracy A. (of Orange, CA, for Surety, American Contractors Indemnity Company)
Probate Status Hearing

DO	D: 7/27/05	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR was appointed Successor	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		Administrator on 1/20/15. Letters issued 2/5/15.	COMMENTS:
	nt from 042115, 915, 081815, 515	History: JEFFREY MCCLAY, Son, was appointed Administrator with Full IAEA with bond of \$252,000.00 on 5/23/06. Bond was filed and Letters issued 8/31/06. I&A filed 2/7/07 indicated residential real property valued at \$300,000.00.	Minute Order 9/15/15: The Court takes this matter under submission as to the issue of
	Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified  Inventory  PTC  Not.Cred.  Notice of Hrg  Aff.Mail  Aff.Pub.  Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv.  Conf. Screen  Letters  Duties/Supp  Objections	On 5/10/07, a Request for Special Notice was filed by Probate Referee Steven Diebert. On 10/12/07, a Creditor's Claim of \$1,105.65 was filed by Cancer Care Associates of Fresno. There was no further activity in the estate the Court set the matter for status hearing in 2013.  On 9/10/14, Attorney Alexander filed a petition for final distribution on behalf of his absconded client pursuant to Probate Code § 10953(c). The petition indicated that the Administrator borrowed a sum of money to satisfy obligations and expenses of the estate, secured by the real property, and intended to make a distribution to his sister, make necessary repairs, and assume the loan after closing. The attorney was unaware whether any distribution of loan proceeds was made, whether any payment to the sister was made, or whether any repairs were made on the residence. The attorney requested surcharge of the Administrator to the extent of any breach of fiduciary duty, etc., and also requested	whether or not the surety company is liable for full surcharge based on the bankruptcy issue. If the Court rules in favor of the Public Administrator, the matter will be set for further status regarding the apportionment of the surcharge. The underlying Petition for Final Distribution is continued to 12/15/15.  Note: A status report
	Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting	statutory and extraordinary attorney's compensation.  Minute Order 1/20/15 states: The Court removes Jeffrey McClay and appoints the Public Administrator in order for them to pursue surcharging Mr. McClay on the bond. Tracy Stevenson requests time to have subpoenas issued and gather information. The Public Administrator is to submit a written status report for the 4/21/15 hearing.	was filed 12/2/15 by Public Administrator. See last page.  f  Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt  UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	Status Report Re Estate Assets filed 4/14/15 by Public Administrator states Deputy PA Noe Jimenez spoke with Mr. Alexander, who believed that the only asset, the residence, was lost to foreclosure. He later found out that Mr. McClay may have benefitted from a loan he secured against the residence – a line of credit for \$120,000.00 on the house in 2007. It appears he pulled all the credit line money out, and the residence was sold at a trustee sale in 2010 for \$155,000.00. Mr. Jimenez believes he has found an accurate address for Mr. McClay in Sacramento, CA. The PA and counsel agree that Mr. McClay should be surcharged the full amount of the property listed on the I&A, \$252,100 of which should be paid by the bond company, less any amounts that the company can recover or show were paid to benefit the estate.	Reviewed on: 12/9/15 Updates: Recommendation: File 2 - McClay

### 4A Joann L. McClay (Estate)

Case No. 06CEPR00372

#### Page 2

**Declaration of Tracy A. Stevenson Regarding Discharge of Surety's Liability Upon Bankruptcy of Personal Representative filed 6/5/15 states:** Jeffrey M. McClay, the decedent's son, was appointed administrator of the decedent's estate on or about 5/23/06. ACIC filed its administrator's bond on behalf of Jeffrey M. McClay in the penal sum of \$252,100 on or about 8/31/06. In applying for that bond, McClay executed an indemnity agreement wherein he agreed to indemnify and reimburse the surety for all losses, attorney fee, and costs of any nature resulting from issuance of the bond.

On or about 7/8/08, while still acting as administrator of the estate, Jeffrey M. McClay filed a Voluntary Petition for Bankruptcy in the Eastern District of California, Case Number 2008-14472. That petition disclosed that he was administrator of the estate and as such held titled to the decedent's real property, subject to an encumbrance, and that he was an heir of the estate.

Because he was administrator at the time he filed for bankruptcy protection, the estate is charged with having knowledge of the bankruptcy filing and will failing to take action to seek relief from the bankruptcy court to protect its interests or pursue a claim. As such the estate's claim against McClay has been discharged by the bankruptcy court and the estate is barred from pursuing surcharge against him.

Because a claimant is required by law to obtain a surcharge judgment against a fiduciary before the surety's liability arises, the estate is legally precluded from proceeding against the surety in this matter.

Because McClay is bound by an indemnity agreement to indemnify the surety for all losses and fees and costs in connection with the bond, pursuing the surety would cause post-discharge economic loss to McClay and interfere with the fresh start granted by his bankruptcy discharge. (The surety did not receive notice of the bankruptcy action and the bankruptcy discharge does not preclude the surety from seeking indemnity from McClay, which it would do.) See authority provided.

The declaration concludes that the estate's ability to pursue surcharge against Jeffrey McClay has been discharged by the bankruptcy court, and the estate's ability to pursue the surety on its bond is also discharged for two reasons: 1) a surcharge against McClay is a prerequisite to pursuing the surety, and a surcharge cannot be sought; and 2) pursuit of the surety would violate the fresh start objective of the Bankruptcy Code.

Response of Public Administrator to Declaration of Tracy A. Stevenson was filed 9/10/15.

#### Page 3

**Response of Public Administrator filed 9/10/15 states** although Ms. Stevenson refers to her document as a declaration, it is in fact an objection to the surcharge of the former Administrator. Her client, American Contractors Indemnity Company, will therefore be referred to as Objector.

According to the Court docket, the former administrator's attorney filed a petition for final distribution on 9/10/14. The petition included surcharge of McClay and for liability on the bond. The hearing on that petition has been continued several times for the attorney to provide notice, surety company to condiuct discovery and file an objection, and the PA to file a response to objections.

The PA states McClay's bankruptcy case is irrelevant to the surety's obligation to make good on its bond. See CCP §§ 996.410 and 996.460, which specifically authorize this surcharge action and make Objector's liability independent of McClay's liability. The purpose of a bond for estate administration is to ensure that the estate can be made whole in the event a fiduciary (McClay) absconds with funds, and is unable to make the estate whole. Under the facts of this case, McClay did not faithfully execute his fiduciary duties, but rather violated that duty by stealing assets from the estate. Under the clear terms of the bond, the obligations to remit payment to the estate remain "in full force and effect."

The estate's claim against McClay is NOT discharged because of his knowledge of his bankruptcy filing because his knowledge is NOT imputed to the estate. The estate's claim is not discharged simply because McClay, the fiduciary, was aware of his own bankruptcy. His duty is to the beneficiaries and creditors of the estate. He did not make his bankruptcy known to anyone who had standing to object to his fraudulent taking of estate assets. There is no evidence before this court that he advised the bankruptcy trustee or judge of h his fraudulent taking of estate assets. The PA used the case number provided to view the Federal Court's online case information system. The Estate of Joann McClay is not listed among the creditors of this case. This is not surprising since the only way it would be listed would be fore McClay to have revealed his theft from the estate to the bankruptcy trustee and judge. Simply put, his knowledge cannot be imputed to the beneficiaries and creditors because of his breach of fiduciary duty and conflict of interest.

The PA states obtaining a surcharge against the personal representative is NOT a prerequisite to pursuing a claim against the surety so the estate CAN pursue the surety. See CCP §§ 996.410 and 996.460, stating that the principal and surety are liable jointly and severally. The PA agrees with Objector that the liability of a surety comes only after entry of judgment; however, Objector provides no basis for her statement that "this is generally taken to mean ...surcharge against the principal." The statute stands on its own and merely states entry of judgment. The PA is doing just that, seeking an entry of judgment against the surety, as authorized by state law.

The estate is NOT barred from pursuing the surety bond because it would NOT violate the fresh start objective of the bankruptcy code. First, the fresh start concept is to protect the principal. Second, the concept is not intended to protect the principal from all judgments. See authority. Third, by seeking payment from the surety, the PA is not seeking a judgment against the principal and thus is not violating the principal's right to the fresh start. The surety will do that if it decides to seek indemnification from McClay after paying on its claim to the estate.

Conclusion: There being no argument that McClay embezzled from the estate, the PA requests the Court deny the objections and surcharge the surety company, ACIC, the amount of §252,100, to be paid to the PA as successor administrator of the estate of Joann McClay.

<u>Note</u>: Tracy A. Stevenson, attorney for Surety, American Contractors Indemnity Company, filed a Reply on 9/14/15. The reply cites authority and concludes that to pursue recovery, one must first obtain a surcharge judgment against McClay. However, surcharge cannot be pursued because the estate's claim has been discharged by the bankruptcy court. It is respectfully requested that the Court deny the request to surcharge.

## 4A Joann L. McClay (Estate)

Case No. 06CEPR00372

Page 4

**Status Report Regarding Estate Assets filed 12/2/15 by Public Administrator states** at the status hearing on 9/15/15, the Court took this matter under submission as to the issue of whether or not the surety company is liable for full surcharge based on the bankruptcy issue. If the Court rules in favor of the Public Administrator, the matter will be set for further status regarding the apportionment of the surcharge. The underlying petition for final distribution was continued to 12/15/15.

To date, the Court has not issued a ruling regarding the surcharge. The 90<sup>th</sup> day will run on the date of this status hearing. Therefore, the Public Administrator requests that this matter not be set again before 60 days.

Attorney: Reggiardo Silvio, III (for Petitioner D. Steven Blake)

## Ex Parte Petition for Authority to Pay Attorney's and Trustee's Fees Relating to Sale of Trust Property

_	to sale of Irust Property			
		<b>D. STEVEN BLAKE</b> , Trustee, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Co ✓	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg	Petitioner states the Fifth Account and Report for the period of 1/1/14 – 12/31/14, was settled and approved by Order dated 5/21/15. The payment of attorneys' and trustee's fees for services rendered during 2014 was approved by the court, and those fees have been paid.  Since the Order of this Court dated 5/21/15, and in accordance with the Order Authorizing the Sale of Real Property entered 7/15/14, Petitioner has now	Note: The petition was originally presented ex parte. Order dated 11/20/15 ordered the petition be set for hearing with notice on all interested parties. A Notice of Hearing was mailed to all interested parties on 11/23/15.	
1	Aff.Mail W/O	completed the sale of the majority of the property in Folsom, California known as the		
	Aff.Pub.	"Broder Ranch" for approximately \$8.3		
	Sp.Ntc.	million. Approximately \$7.1 million of the		
	Pers.Serv.	sale proceeds were delivered initially to an		
	Conf.	exchange intermediary in order to facilitate a like-kind exchange of real		
	Screen	property pursuant to Internal Revenue		
	Letters	Code §1031. A replacement property was		
	Duties/Supp	purchased from these funds for		
	Objections	approximately \$4.1 million, and two		
	Video	additional replacement properties were under contract as of 9/17/15.		
	Receipt			
	CI Report	Petitioner anticipates the sale of the Broder		
	9202	Ranch property will result in significant		
✓	Order	income tax liability to the estate, given the very low income tax basis on the Broder		
	Aff. Posting	Ranch. Although the like-kind exchanges	Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt	is expected to ameliorate those	Reviewed on: 12/9/15	
	UCCJEA	consequences considerably, Petitioner	Updates:	
	Citation FTB Notice	request authority to pay attorneys' and trustee's fees relating to the sale within this	Recommendation: File 5 - Silberstein	
	110 HOILCE	calendar year in order to generate deductions that will further reduce the estate's income tax liability for 2015.	THE J - SHDEISIEHI	
L		Please see additional page		

#### 5 Vera Silberstein Gift Trust

Case No. 11CEPR00301

During the period 1/1/15 through 9/14/15, Downey Brand LLP, attorneys for petitioner, performed numerous and sophisticated legal services in connection with the marketing, division, sale and development of the Gift Trust real property, as well as legal services regarding various tax considerations for further investment proceeds, and the acquisition of the exchange properties, all of which is over and above the services rendered in the general course of trust administration.

Petitioner alleges that the reasonable value for such services is \$20,013.50. (See Declaration of Attorney filed 11/19/15.)

Petitioner states he has spent a considerable amount of time relating to the marketing, division, development and disposition of the Broder Ranch, as well as the subsequent acquisition of like-kind exchange property, all of which are over and above the services rendered in the general course of trust administration. In addition, Petitioner discovered a partnership interest (in the Esperanza Village Associates, LP) and had to spend a considerable amount of time negotiating the sale of that interest for an appropriate price. Petitioner has spent 411.6 hours related to these additional duties as trustee through 9/17/15. (See declaration of Trustee filed 11/19/15.) Petitioner believes reasonable compensation for Petitioner's additional services as trustee is \$61,740.00 which is computed at \$150 per hour.

#### Wherefore, Petitioner requests an Order:

- Authorizing Petitioner to pay his attorneys. Downey Brand LLP, fees in the amount of \$20,013.50 for services rendered in connection with the sale of the Broder Ranch and reinvestment of the sale proceeds;
- 2. Authorizing Petitioner to pay himself, as trustee of the Gift Trust, fees in the amount of \$61,750, for services rendered in connection with the sale of the Broder Ranch and the reinvestment of the sale proceeds and the sale of the Esperanza Associates partnership interest.

6A Wanda H. Bingham (CONS/PE) Case No. 11CEPR00949

Attorney Roberts, David A. (Petitioner – Attorney for Former Conservator)

Attorney Janisse, Ryan M. (for Randy Grace – Current Conservator – Objector)

Attorney Boyett, Deborah (Court appointed attorney for Conservatee)

Petition for Order Awarding Attorneys Fees

		See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		•	COMMENTS:
Cont. from 05051 060215, 061215, 072015, 082415, 092815, 110315  Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified Inventory  PTC  Not.Cred.  Votice of Hrg	5,		Note: Deborah Boyett, Court appointed attorney for Conservatee, field Notice of Non-Opposition on 5/29/15.  1. Petitioner requests compensation from the conservatorship estate for services in connection with the conservatee's trust. The Court may require clarification or payment from the trust rather than the conservatorship estate.
✓ Aff.Mail	W		ine conservatorship estate.
Aff.Pub.	, **		
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
✓ Objections			
Video Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notice			File 6A - Bingham

6B Attorney

Magness, Marcus D. (for Randy Grace – Successor Conservator – Petitioner)

### Fourth Amended Account and Report of Conservator

	See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from 110315 Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified		Minute Order 8/24/15 (Third Amended Account), in relevant part: The Court will allow Mr. Magness to complete the accounting so that it shows a balanced account through the date
Inventory PTC		Ms. St. Louis stopped acting as Conservator. The Petition for Order
Not.Cred.		Awarding Attorney's Fees (Page A) will trail the new accounting.
✓ Notice of Hrg		Minute Order 11/3/15: Declaration
✓ Aff.Mail W		and bank statements accepted for
Aff.Pub.		filing in open court; continued for review.
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		<u>Update</u> : Examiner's notes cleared.
Screen		Note: The order as submitted
Letters		indicated "Fourth Account."
Duties/Supp		Examiner interlineated the order to reflect "Fourth Amended Account."
✓ Objections		reflect Tooliff Affichaed Accoons.
Video Receipt		Note: On 11/2/15, Attorney David
CI Report		Roberts filed "Response to Objections to Third Amended First
✓ 2620(c)		and Final Account filed by
✓ Order		Conservator Randy Grace."
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation FTB Notice		Recommendation:
FIB NOTICE		File 6B – Bingham

6B

6C Attorney

Magness, Marcus D. (for Randy Grace – Successor Conservator – Petitioner)

### Petition for Substituted Judgment to Make Gifts

		See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
			COMMENTS:
<u> </u>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	= = = =	Note: This petition was originally set for hearing on 1/25/16; however, pursuant to Order Shortening Time filed 11/20/15, the
	Inventory	_	matter was set for
	PTC Not Cred	-	12/15/15. Notice of
	Not.Cred.	=	this hearing on
	Notice of Hrg		12/15/15 was served on 11/25/15.
>	Aff.Mail	<u>/</u>	
	Aff.Pub.		1. Need order. Local Rule 7.1.1.F.
	Sp.Ntc.		KUIE 7.1.1.F.
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 6C - Bingham

6C

Attorney Melinda Bell, of San Juan Capistrano (for Guardian Jana Keeley)

### Probate Status Hearing Re: Proof of Funds in a Blocked Account

			JANA KEELEY, mother, was appointed as Guardian of the Estate on 9/15/2015, with deposits of \$151,118.83 of life insurance	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  1. Need Receipt and
			proceeds and funds in an IRA into a blocked	Acknowledgment of Order
Cont.	. from		account at Fresno County Federal Credit Union.	for the Deposit of Money
Α	Aff.Sub.Wit.			Into Blocked Account
V	erified		Letters of Guardianship issued on 10/5/2015.	(Judicial Council form MC-
Ir	nventory		Adinate Order dated 0/15/0015 from the	356.)
	locked ecpt.	Χ	Minute Order dated 9/15/2015 from the hearing on the petition for appointment of guardian set this status hearing on 12/15/2015	
N	lot.Cred.		for filing of proof of funds deposited into a	
	lotice of Irg		blocked account.	
=	Aff.Mail			
Α	Aff.Pub.			
S	p.Ntc.			
P	ers.Serv.			
С	Conf.			
S	creen			
Le	etters			
D	outies/Supp			
0	<b>Objections</b>			
	ideo eceipt			
С	CI Report			
9:	202			
0	Order			
Α	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LEG
	tatus Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
_	CCJEA			Updates:
	itation			Recommendation:
F1	TB Notice			File 7 – Katigbak
				7

8

Kruthers, Heather H

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters of Administration with Will Annexed; Authorization to Administer Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act

Age:	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:	
	CONTINUED TO 1/27/16
	Per attorney request
Cont. from	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	
Verified	
Inventory	
PTC	
Not.Cred.	
Notice of	
Hrg	
Aff.Mail	
Aff.Pub.	
Sp.Ntc.	
Pers.Serv.	
Conf.	
Screen	
Letters	
Duties/Supp	
Objections	
Video	
Receipt	
CI Report	
9202	
Order	
Aff. Posting	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	Reviewed on: 12/9/15
UCCJEA	Updates:
Citation	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	File 8 - Galvan

### 9 Atty Attý

Randy A. Jean (Estate)
Roberts, Gregory J. (for Terri Jean – Administrator)
Winter, Gary L. (for Randi Poe – Daughter)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Agreement and Trial

DOD: 5/22/13	TERRI JEAN, Surviving Spouse and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Administrator with Limited IAEA with	
	bond of \$64,400, filed a petition for	Minute Order 9/22/15: Parties are
	settlement of first account on 2/25/15,	working towards resolution; request
Cont from 071415,	which was set for hearing on 4/7/15.	60-90 days.
92215	RANDI POE, Daughter, filed Objection to	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Inventory; Petition to Establish Estate's	
Verified	Ownership of Real Property, and for	
Inventory	Order Directing its Transfer to Estate	
PTC	under Probate Code §850 on 3/2/15, which was separately set for hearing on	
Not.Cred.	4/7/15.	
Notice of		
Hrg	Ms. Poe also filed an Objection to the	
Aff.Mail	Administrator's Account on 4/3/15.	
Aff.Pub.	On 4/7/15, the matter was set for trial	
Sp.Ntc.	on 5/12/15 with a one-day time	
Pers.Serv.	estimate.	
Conf.		
Screen	On 5/12/15, the trial was vacated.	
Letters	Minute Order states parties are working on an agreement. The Court set a	
Duties/Supp	settlement conference for 5/19/15.	
Objections	<b>=</b>	
Video Receipt	At settlement conference on 5/19/15,	
CI Report	the Court set this status hearing and	
9202	continued all pending matters to 7/14/15.	
Order	<b>-</b>	
Aff. Posting	=	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	7	Reviewed on: 12/9/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 9 - Jean

## 10 Sandra Varney (Estate)

**Executor: Bruce Varney** 

Case No. 14CEPR00484

Probate Status Hearing Filing of the First and Final Accounting

	Trobate states freating rining of the rin	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		·
		OFF CALENDAR. Order for Final
Cont. from		Distribution signed on 2/25/15.
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 12/4/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 10 - Varney

# 11 Daunte & Bryson Tucker & Alex Hernandez (GUARD/P) Case No. 14CEPR00708

Guardian: Ruben Bazan Guardian: Amelia Bazan

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510).

	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	OFF CALFNIDAD
	OFF CALENDAR. Calendared in
	error.
Cont. from	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	
Verified	
Inventory	
PTC	
Not.Cred.	
Notice of	
Hrg	
Aff.Mail	
Aff.Pub.	
Sp.Ntc.	
Pers.Serv.	
Conf.	
Screen	
Letters	
Duties/Supp	
Objections	
Video	
Receipt	
CI Report	
9202	
Order	
Aff. Posting	Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt	Reviewed on: 12/4/15
UCCJEA	Updates:
Citation	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	File 11 – Tucker/Hernandez

## 12 Deanna Claudette Molina (Estate)

Case No. 15CEPR00442

Attorney: Paulette Janian (for Administrator Debra Molina)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 2/25/15	DEBRA MOLINA was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Administrator with full IAEA authority	·
	and without bond on 6/2/15.	
	1	OFF CALENDAR. Inventory and
Cont. from 110315	Letters issued on 6/2/15.	appraisal filed on 11/17/15.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	1	
Verified	Minute order dated 6/2/15 set this	
Inventory	status hearing for the filing of the inventory and appraisal.	
PTC	inventory and appraisal.	
Not.Cred.	]	
Notice of	1	
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt	-	
CI Report		
9202	 	
Order	-	Davison d how KT
Aff. Posting	-	Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt	1	Reviewed on: 12/9/15
UCCJEA Citation	1	Updates:
	1	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 12 – Molina

12

Case No. 15CEPR00568

Petitioner Laurie Lee Cardoza (Pro Per, maternal cousin)

Objector Mary Anne Wilson (Pro Per, mother)

## Probate Status Hearing Re: Visitation

Age: 11 years	LAURIE LEE CARDOZA, maternal cousin, was	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	appointed Guardian of the Person on 10/13/2015. Letters issued on 11/10/2015.	Continued from 10/27/2015. [Minute Order noted at
Cont. from 080415, 090115, 102715	MARY ANN WILSON, mother, filed on 8/31/2015 a <b>Declaration of MARYANNE WILSON</b> , containing objections and proposed resolution	center.]
Aff.Sub.Wit.	to the Court.	
Verified	Minute Outen date d 10/12/0015 frame the	
Inventory	Minute Order dated 10/13/2015 from the hearing on the petition for appointment grants	
PTC	the petition, and states:	
Not.Cred.	The Court is not considering the late filed	
Notice of	declaration [Statement to the Judge for	
Hrg	Review filed on 9/22/2015 by Laurie	
Aff.Mail	Cardoza] or any other late filing;  Ms. Cardoza is to make an appointment	
Aff.Pub.	Ms. Cardoza is to make an appointment with a Ph.D. for counseling for the child	
Sp.Ntc.	within the next few weeks;	
Pers.Serv.	The Court would also like to have	
Conf.	child/mother counseling started and reports	
Screen	given to Court;	
Letters	Mother is allowed to use Courtcall at the next hearing [on 10/27/2015].	
Duties/Supp	nexi neding [on 10/2//2013].	
Objections	Minute Order dated 10/27/2015 from Probate	
Video	Status Hearing Re: Visitation states Mary Ann	
Receipt	Wilson, mother, called the clerk this morning to	
Cl Report	notify of her inability to attend today's hearing.	
Clearances	Matter continued to 12/15/2015.	
Order Aff. Posting	Clerk's Certificate of Mailing shows a copy of	Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt	the Minute Order dated 10/27/201 was sent to	Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 12/9/15
UCCJEA	Mary Ann Wilson on 10/27/2015.	Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 13 – Nitti

Attorney Schmidt, William L. (attorney for Petitioner <u>and</u> Respondent Proposed Trustee)

Notice of Petition and Amended Petition for Transfer of Administration form Another Jurisdiction Pursuant to California Probate Code (Probate Code 17451, 17452)

DOD: 9/19/13		<b>DWAYNE R. FROSCH</b> , beneficiary of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Patricia J. Schmidt Trust FBO Dwayne Frosch	
		(the Schmidt Trust), age 45, is Petitioner.	Minute Order 9/15/15: Counsel
			requests 90 days.
Cont from 001515		,	
	The reactal concentral hismonomy here		As of 12/9/15, nothing further
		,	has been filed. The following
Verified		·	issues remain noted:
Inventory		·	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
PTC		·	SEE ADDITIONAL FAGES
Not.Cred.			
Notice of	Х	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Aff.Mail	Х	a replacement trustee familiar with the money	
		management challenges faced by inmates.	
<u> </u>		57 :	
-		•	
Conf.		·	
		, ,	
		,	
		, ·	
		familial relationship with the settlor or her kin.	
<del>† • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</del>			
		·	
<del>i                                    </del>			
		jonsalenon.	Reviewed by: skc
		The Schmidt Trust is a written voluntary express	Reviewed by: 3RC
<del></del>		trust created 8/19/13 by Patricia J. Schmidt in	Updates:
			Recommendation:
		•	File 14 - Schmidt
FID NOTICE		·	riie 14 - sciiiilai
		,	
		·	
		· ·	
		2/20/10.388 EXHIDII C.	
		SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	
	nt. from 091515 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg	nt. from 091515  Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of XHrg Aff.Mail X  Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation	Patricia J. Schmidt Trust FBO Dwayne Frosch (the Schmidt Trust), age 45, is Petitioner.  Petitioner states he is currently a resident of the Federal Correctional Institution Florence located in Florence, Fremont County,  Verified Inventory   Colorado. Petitioner anticipates residing in the State of California upon his release. The terms of the trust require continued trust of the trust require continued trust of the fundamental petition is an attempt to accomplish transfer of administration of the Schmidt Trust to the home state of Dwayne R. Frosch and appoint a replacement trustee familiar with the money management challenges faced by inmates.  Sp.Ntc.   Respondent WILLIAM L. SCHMIDT, age 57, is a resident of Fresno, CA and has been appointed conditional trustee by the District Court in and for the County of Cerro Gordo, lowa to accomplish a transfer of jurisdiction to the State of California. Respondent has no familial relationship with the settlor or her kin.  Petitioner states Respondent shall become the administration of the trust and assets mentioned below are transferred to this jurisdiction.  Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation

#### Page 2

**Petitioner states** the Schmidt Trust is not closed. The Schmidt Trust has retained assets. Respondent William L. Schmidt, the proposed trustee, has agreed to accept the trust in this state. The present petition is a necessary requirement of the Iowa district Court, Chief Judge James M. Drew, to confirm California will allow Respondent William L. Schmidt to serve as trustee and accept jurisdiction. William L. Schmidt is an attorney duly licensed to practice law in all federal and state courts in California. Since admittance his practice has focused on serving the legal needs of incarcerated persons, including handling money as a trustee. Bond is not requested.

## Petitioner states the assets do not include real property and are comprised of liquid capital and negotiable securities (e.g. stocks and mutual funds) as follows:

- i. First Citizens Trust Acct, Market Value \$46,050.47
- ii. Attorney Trust Acct, Market Value \$5,000.00
- iii. Patricia J. Schmidt Estate, Market Value \$77,236.07

Asset (i) is presently held by First Citizens National Bank in trust for the Patricia J. Schmdit Trust FBO Dwayne R. Frosch. The resignation as trustee pertaining to First Citizens National Bank is attached as Exhibit D.

Asset (ii) is presently held by the law firm of Heiny, McManigal, Duffy, Stambaugh & Anderson, P.L.C., of Mason City, IA.

Asset (iii) is presently held by Steve Schroeder, Executor of the Patricia J. Schmidt Estate.

#### Examiner notes that the following documents are attached to the petition:

**Exhibit A:** Last Will and Testament of Patricia J. Schmidt, which appears to contain the terms of the instant trust, and various related documents related to the Estate of Patricia J. Schmidt in the Iowa District Court for Cerro Gordo County.

**Exhibit B:** Application Regarding Appointment of New Trustee and Transfer of Jurisdiction to California;

**Exhibit C:** Order Approving Application Regarding Appointment of New Trustee and Transfer of Jurisdiction to California

**Exhibit D:** Resignation to Serve as Trustee by First Citizens National Bank.

#### Petitioner prays as follows:

- 1. That the Court issue an order accepting transfer of the place of administration of the Schmidt Trust as well as all Schmidt Trust assets:
- 2. That the Court appoint Respondent William L. Schmidt as trustee to administer the trust in this state: and
- 3. For any other relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

#### Page 3 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Examiner notes previously noted that the original petition does not state the names and addresses
of each person entitled to notice of this petition pursuant to Probate Code §§ 17201, 17203. This
amended petition still does not contain a complete list of each person entitled to notice. Need
comprehensive list stating each person entitled to notice and his or her address.

<u>Note</u>: Petitioner states he is the "sole existing beneficiary" of the trust; however, the testamentary trust in the will names an alternate trustee, Jesse Henderson, who is not included, as well as residual beneficiaries who appear to be entitled to notice including McKenzie Schumate, Desirae Bayliff, and Andrew Bayliff.

- 2. Need <u>Notice of Hearing</u> (Mandatory Judicial Council Form DE-120 required for all probate proceedings.) See Probate Code §1211, Cal Rule of Court 1.31 re mandatory forms.
- 3. Need proof of service of <u>Notice of Hearing</u> at least 30 days prior to the hearing on all persons entitled thereto pursuant to Probate Code §17203. <u>Note</u>: This amended petition, although re-titled as "Notice of Petition and Amended Petition," is still not consistent with the requirement for use of mandatory Judicial Council notice form which includes mandatory language regarding the hearing date.
- 4. <u>Need points and authorities regarding this petition</u>. The Decedent's will was admitted to Probate in lowa in 2013. The lowa probate estate administration appears to be ongoing. The will provides for distribution of the decedent's estate to a testamentary trust, the "Patricia J. Schmidt Trust." (Note that this is not the instant trust.)

The Testamentary Trust then provides for its own separate administration and distribution in two shares, one share for Petitioner Dwayne R. Frosch, and one share for Derrick Shawn Bayliff, which shares are to be retained in trust and administered pursuant to the terms set forth therein. It appears that Dwayne and Derrick are income beneficiaries of their respective trusts only, and others (their issue?) are the ultimate beneficiaries of each trust share. (Dwayne's subtrust is the instant trust.)

Petitioner requests that this Court accept transfer of the place of administration the "Patricia J. Schmidt Trust FBO Dwayne Frosch" and that this Court appoint William L. Schmidt, a resident of Fresno, CA, as trustee to administer the trust in this state.

However, under the circumstances described, it is unclear:

- a) whether the testamentary trust and subtrust have been created in accordance with applicable law and procedures; and
- b) why acceptance of jurisdiction by this California Probate Court is necessary.

Page 4 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTSs (Cont'd): Discussion:

• Need clarification as to how the probate estate process works in Iowa in order to understand what is being requested here.

Article VI, Section B of the will states: "On distribution of my estate from probate the Patricia J. Schmidt Trust, together with property received from any other source, shall be administered as follows...."

The above language infers a similar process to California's, whereby an estate, upon closing administration, distributes to the testamentary trust, and the estate's final distribution order is the order that creates the testamentary trust. The testamentary trust does not exist as an entity until estate administration is completed, nor would a subtrust of the testamentary trust exist yet.

This petition indicates, by reference to funds held in both the estate and in the estate executor's attorney's trust account, that the estate has not yet closed and distributed to the Patricia J. Schmidt Trust, while at the same time indicating that the subtrust is currently in existence, with reference to the First Citizens account and the trustee's resignation. How is this subtrust in existence? Is there an lowa Court order that created the testamentary trust and/or its subtrusts that is not included for this Court's reference?

- The attached Iowa Court Order filed 2/23/15 requires a final accounting by the apparently resigned former trustee, First Citizens National Bank. Has this occurred? Will this affect the value of the assets provided?
- Pursuant to Probate Code §15602(a)(3), bond is required for a trustee not named in the trust instrument. If granted, need bond of \$94,915.19, which includes cost of recovery, based on the below figures.

Need clarification regarding the three assets identified in this petition as assets of this subtrust:

- i. First Citizens Trust Acct, Market Value \$46,050.47
- ii. Attorney Trust Acct, Market Value \$5,000.00
- iii. Patricia J. Schmidt Estate, Market Value \$77,236.07

Are these three accounts entirely assets that are allocated to Dwayne's subtrust, or do these amounts have yet to be split up? Why would funds still held in the estate be identified as assets of Dwayne's subtrust? How/when was the First Citizen's account created? Was there a preliminary distribution order that established the subtrust ahead of time and allocated only those funds? Was First Citizens trustee of only those funds, or trustee of the funds still contained in the estate as well? What assets will their final account cover? Are these figures current for calculation of bond?

Page 5 - NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont'd):

 Petitioner states at Paragraph 6 on Page 3 of the Petition: "The Schmidt Trust has been subject to the <u>supervision</u> of the District Court in and for the County of Cerro Gordo, Iowa." Is Petitioner requesting this Court accept <u>supervision</u> of this trust, or simply jurisdiction in the event it becomes necessary?

A testamentary trust or its subtrusts would not necessarily require Court supervision, regardless of the income beneficiary's incarceration status. Why is this subtrust being supervised currently? (The requirement that First Citizens, as the resigned trustee, is required to account, and of course, the instant petition are both evidence that the subtrust is currently being supervised.)

A trust will typically either specify a preferred jurisdiction, or applicable law provides that the place of administration would be the proper place to initiate proceedings, if necessary. Acceptance of jurisdiction is not typically required of a trust that is not supervised. Here, the Decedent's will at Article XVI states "...the Trustee or any beneficiary may invoke the jurisdiction of any proper court at any time it is deemed advisable." This language does not imply the necessity of Court supervision aside from in the event of disputes, or instruction requested.

If appointment of a successor trustee is necessary, why wouldn't the Court that currently has jurisdiction simply review the petition to appoint the successor trustee in accordance with applicable trust law, and in doing so, take into consideration the fact that appointing him may change the place of administration for purposes of jurisdiction?

Examiner notes also that Petitioner appears to be the sole <u>income</u> beneficiary, but is not necessarily the sole beneficiary. The residual beneficiaries named in the will have <u>not</u> been given notice of this petition. They may be entitled to information, accountings, etc. Would California jurisdiction affect them?

<u>Note</u>: If <u>supervision</u> is required, and this petition is granted, the Court will set status hearings for applicable events such as accountings, etc.

Petitioner is currently incarcerated in Colorado, but plans to reside in California upon his release.
 California Probate Code §9202(b) provides for certain notice requirements for incarcerated estate beneficiaries in California probate estates. The Court may require clarification as to whether any such similar provisions exist in connection with Iowa Probate Code under which the estate/trust(?) is currently being administered and the result of such notice, if required.

Note: Upon further clarification, the Court may have additional questions or issues.

Attorney

Adams, Jon P.

	Probate Status Hearing	RE: Proof of Bond	<u> </u>
Age:			NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:			
			OFF CALENDAR
Cont. from			Bond filed 10/22/15; Letters issued.
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of			
Hrg			
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf.			
Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
<b>Objections</b>			
Video			
Receipt			
CI Report	=		
9202	<del>- </del>		
Order Aff. Posting	$\dashv$		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	-		Reviewed by: SRC  Reviewed on: 12/9/15
UCCJEA	$\dashv$		Updates:
Citation	$\neg$		Recommendation:
FTB Notice			File 15 - McCloud

# 16A Cherish Villarrial, Maleenah Villarrial, Adaleen Villarrial (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00757

Petitioner Hickingbottom, Sarah (pro per – paternal aunt/Petitioner)

### Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Cherish, 3	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 10/05/15	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Maleenah, 2	<b>SARAH HICKINGBOTTOM</b> , paternal aunt, is Petitioner.	See page 16B for a competing Petition filed by maternal
Adaleen, 1 year	Father: <b>PETER VILLARRIAL</b> – personally served on 08/22/15	grandmother, Cynthia Hernandez.
Cont. from 100515	Mother: MELISSA HOUSTON – personally served on 08/16/15  Paternal grandfather: PETER VILLARRIAL, SR. – served by mail with Notice of Hearing only on 08/21/15  Paternal grandmother: Vanessa Carson – personally served on 08/22/15  Maternal grandfather: RICHARD HOUSTN Maternal grandmother: Cindy Hernandez – personally served on 08/16/15  Petitioner states [see file for details].  Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 09/29/15.  Declaration of Cindy Hernandez (maternal grandmother) filed 09/30/15 states: [see file for details].	<ol> <li>Need proof of service by mail of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person or Consent &amp; Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for:         <ol> <li>Maternal grandfather (Richard Houston)</li> <li>Paternal grandfather – Proof of Service filed 10/02/15 does not indicate that he was served a copy of the Petition along with the Notice of Hearing</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
✓ Order  Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/09/15
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 16A – Villarrial

16A

# 16B Cherish Villarrial, Maleenah Villarrial, Adaleen Villarrial (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00757

Petitioner Hernandez, Cynthia (pro per – maternal grandmother)

### Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Cherish, 3	NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Maleenah, 2  Adaleen, 1 year	CYNTHIA HERNANDEZ, maternal grandmother, is Petitioner.	<ol> <li>Need Notice of Hearing.</li> <li>Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the</li> </ol>
Cont. from  Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified  Inventory  PTC  Not.Cred.  Notice of X Hrg  Aff.Mail X  Aff.Pub.  Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv. X  Conf. Screen  Letters  Duties/Supp  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202	Father: PETER VILLARRIAL, JR.  Mother: MELISSA HOUSTON – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 10/02/15  Paternal grandfather: PETER VILLARRIAL, SR. Paternal grandmother: VANESSA CARSON  Maternal grandfather: RICHARD HOUSTON  Siblings: TRAVIS MARTIN, SIERRA MARTIN, DHILLON MARTIN, IVORY MARTIN  Petitioner states [see petition for details].  Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 11/24/15.	of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for:  a. Peter Villarrial, Jr. (father) – personal service needed  b. Peter Villarrial, Sr. – service by mail ok  c. Vanessa Carson – service by mail ok  d. Richard Houston – service by mail ok  e. Any sibling age 12 and over – service by mail ok
✓ Order Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt  ✓ UCCJEA  Citation		Reviewed on: 12/09/15 Updates: Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 16B – Villarrial

16B

## 17 Alexander G. Montes (CONS/P)

Case No. 15CEPR00912

Petitioner Gustavo Montes de Leon (Pro Per)
Petitioner Rebecca Gonzalez Montes (Pro Per)

### **Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator**

	Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator						
	NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:					
Cont. from 102715  Aff.Sub.Wit.  Verified	GUSTAVO MONTES DE LEON and REBECCA GONZALEZ MONTES, parents, are Petitioners and request appointment as Conservator of the Person with medical consent powers.  ~Please see Petition for details~	Court Investigator Advised Rights on 9/28/2015.  Continued from 10/27/2015.  Minute Order states examiner notes provided in open court. The Court excuses service to the					
PTC Not.Cred.  V Notice of	Court Investigator's <i>Report</i> was filed on 10/1/2015.	proposed Conservatee based on his diminished capacity, thereby curing item two of the notes.					
Hrg  √ Aff.Mail W/  ○		The following issues from the last hearing remain:					
Aff.Pub.  Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv.  Conf. Screen  Letters  Duties/ Supp  Objections  Video Receipt	= = = = =	1. Medical Capacity Declaration of Sreekanth Chava, M.D., filed 9/16/2015 does not support medical consent powers. If Petitioners wish to pursue the grant of medical consent powers, need a Medical Capacity Declaration in support of Petitioners' request pursuant to Probate Code § 1890(c).					
✓ CI Report		~Please see additional page~					
9202 √ Order							
Aff. Posting	1	Reviewed by: LEG					
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15					
UCCJEA		Updates:					
Citation Excused	<u></u>	Recommendation:					
FTB Notice		File 17 – Montes					
		17					

### 17 Additional Page, Alexander G. Montes (CONS/P) Case No. 15CEPR00912

#### NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

- 2. Item 11(b) of the *Petition* indicates the maternal and paternal grandparents live at an unknown address in Mexico. Court may require *Notice of Hearing* and proof of service by mail of the notice with a copy of the *Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator*, or a Declaration of Due Diligence, for the maternal and paternal grandparents named in the *Petition* pursuant to *Probate Code* § 1822(b)(2).
- 3. Need Confidential Supplemental Information form (GC-312) pursuant to Probate Code § 1821.

**Note:** Proposed order and proposed letters prepared by examiner are contained in the file.

# 18 Audrina Aicher and James Aicher (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00986 Petitioner Shields, Carla Ann (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

			See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
<b>-</b>	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory			Note: Based on the Court Investigator's report, this matter has not been reviewed. If this matter goes forward, continuance will be necessary for investigation pursuant to Probate Code §1513 and notice pursuant to Probate Code §1511.
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
	Notice of Hrg	Х		
	Aff.Mail	Х		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.	Χ		
~	Conf. Screen			
~	Letters			
~	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report	Χ		
	Clearances	Χ		
~	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
~	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 18 - Aicher

## 19 Sunshine Quintero (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00987

Petitioner Gomez Hernandez, Christine R (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Age: 4		Temporary was denied as there was no	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
79	<del></del>	appearance	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMILITIS.
-		<u> </u>	Note: There was no appearances
-		CHRISTINE GOMEZ HERNANDEZ, maternal	by the Petition at the Temporary
		grandmother, is Petitioner.	Hearing on 10/21/2015.
Co	nt. from		
l <u></u>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Please see petition for details	Need Notice of Hearing.
✓	Verified		Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior
	Inventory		to the hearing of the Notice
	PTC		of Hearing along with a
	Not.Cred.	1	copy of the Petition for
	Notice of X		Appointment of Guardian or
	Hrg		consent and waiver of
	Aff.Mail X		notice or declaration of due
	Aff.Pub.		diligence for:  • Tony Duke Quintero
	Sp.Ntc.		(Father)
	Pers.Serv. X		<ul> <li>Alicia Adriana Ceja</li> </ul>
1	Conf.		(Mother)
*	Screen		3. Need proof of service fifteen
1	Letters		(15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing
1	Duties/Supp		along with a copy of the
ľ			Petition for Appointment of
	Objections		Guardian or consent and
	Video		waiver of notice or
<u> </u>	Receipt		declaration of due diligence for:
<b>√</b>	CI Report		Paternal Grandparents
<u> </u>	9202		(Not Listed)
<b>1</b>	Order		<ul> <li>Maternal Grandparents (Not Listed)</li> </ul>
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/09/2015
1	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 19 - Quintero

19

Remy Jo Moynier (GUARD/P) Case No.

Butcher, Jeanette Marie (Pro Per – Paternal Step-Aunt – Petitioner) 22 Case No. 15CEPR01032

Petitioner

### Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

			See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
~	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
*	Notice of Hrg			
>	Aff.Mail	8		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
N/A	Pers.Serv.			
<b>&gt;</b>	Conf. Screen			
>	Letters			
>	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
>	CI Report			
>	Clearances			
>	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
>	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 22 - Moynier

# 23 Melvin Salwasser Family Heritage Trust 12/30/1999 Case No. 15CEPR01072 Attorney Jaech, Jeffrey A. (for Dennis Salwasser – Trustee – Petitioner)

Petition for Court Order Modifying Trust Instrument in Changed Circumstances

Margaret Salwasser			See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	OD: 7/11/14			
	Melvin Salwasser			
DC	D: 5/17/15			
$\vdash$	T	I		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
~	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
~	Notice of			
	Hrg			
~	Aff.Mail	w/o		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf.			
	Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
~	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 12/9/15
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 23 - Salwasser

Salwasser Living Trust 12/30/1999 Case No. 15CEPR01073

Attorney Jaech, Jeffrey A. (for Dennis Salwasser – Trustee – Petitioner)

## Petition for Court Order Modifying Trust Instrument in Changed Circumstances

Margaret Salwasser DOD: 7/11/14		ser	See petition for details.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Melvin Salwasser DOD: 5/17/15				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
>	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
>	Notice of			
	Hrg			
>	Aff.Mail	w/o		
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf.			
	Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
<b>&gt;</b>	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 12/9/15
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation ETP Notice			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 24 - Salwasser

## 25 Bee Pha (Estate)

Attorney: Mark J. Castro (for Petitioner Neng Vang)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act with Limited IAEA Authority.

DOD: 3/5/15	Independent Administration of Estates Act with Limited IAEA Authority.		
Executor with bond set at \$10,000,00.	DOD: 3/5/15	NENG VANG is petitioner and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Executor with bond set at \$10,000,00.			
Cont. from   Aff. Sub. Wit.   Verified   Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)   Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)   Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)   PTC   Not. Cred.   Not. Cred.   Notice of Hrg   Aff. Moil   X   Aff. Pub.   X   Sp. Ntc.   Pers. Serv.   Conf.   Screen   Letters   X   Duties/Supp   X   Objections   Video   Receipt   CI Report   CI Report   Sp. Video   Receipt   CI Report   Sp. Video   Receipt   CI Report   Sp. Video   Receipt   Sp. Video   Sp.			NEED AMENDED PETITION based
Aff.Sub.Wit.  ✓ Verified  Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)  PTC  Not.Cred.  Notice of Hrg  Aff.Moil X  Aff.Pub. X  Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv.  Conf. Screen  Letters X  Duties/Supp X  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order X  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  Limited IAEA -  Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)  Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)  Par be appointed as Executor. It is unclear who Bee Pha is. It appears that this may be the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent died testate or intestate. #3d or 3e of the petition.  3. #2D(1) of the petition des Not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent died testate or intestate. #3d or 3e of the petition.  3. #2D(1) of the petition des Not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  3. #2D(1) of the petition des Not intestate in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  3. #2D(1) of the petition the sunction that this may be the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  3. #2D(1) of the petition feer or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  4. Petition des not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. #2D(1) of the petition voal that this proposed personal representate or intestate. #3d or 3e of the petition was not answered			
Aff.Sub.Wit.  ✓ Verified  Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)  PTC  Not.Cred.  Notice of Hrg  Aff.Moil X  Aff.Pub. X  Sp.Ntc.  Pers.Serv.  Conf. Screen  Letters X  Duties/Supp X  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order X  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  Limited IAEA -  Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)  Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)  Par be appointed as Executor. It is unclear who Bee Pha is. It appears that this may be the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent died testate or intestate. #3d or 3e of the petition.  3. #2D(1) of the petition des Not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent died testate or intestate. #3d or 3e of the petition.  3. #2D(1) of the petition des Not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  3. #2D(1) of the petition des Not intestate in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  3. #2D(1) of the petition the sunction that this may be the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  2. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  3. #2D(1) of the petition feer or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  4. Petition des not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. Petition does not indicate whether or not the decedent and the name was placed there in error.  5. #2D(1) of the petition voal that this proposed personal representate or intestate. #3d or 3e of the petition was not answered	Cont from	•	
Verified   Decedent died testate or intestate? (see #2)   Residence: Fresno   Publication: NEED   Publication: NEED   Pers.Serv.   Conf.   Screen   Lefters   X   Duties/Supp   X   Objections   Video   Receipt   CI Report   9202   Order   X   Aff. Posting   Status Rpt   UCCJEA   Cilation   Status Rpt   UCCJEA   Cilation   CI Report   Status Rpt   UCCJEA   Cilation   Cila		Limited IAEA -	, ·
Inventory   Decedent clied festate or intestate? (see #2)	1,7 100		· ·
Inventory   PTC   Not.Cred.   Residence: Fresno   Publication: NEED   Publication: NEED   Publication: NEED   Publication: NEED   Publication: NEED   Publication: NEED   Pers.Serv.   Real property- \$150,000.00   \$150,000.00   \$3.820(1) of the petition requests appointment as executor.   #31(2)(a) requests appointment as administrator. Need clarification.   Need date of death of spouse. Local Rule 7.1.1D.   S. #52(3) or 52(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.   Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.   Petition as required.   Real Property   Petition was not answered re: child/no child.	✓   Verified	Decedent died testate or	·
PTC	Inventory	intestate? (see #2)	· ·
Notice of Hrg	PTC		·
Notice of Hrg	Not.Cred.	Residence: Fresno	
Hrg	Notice of	Publication: <b>NEED</b>	
Aff. Pub. X  Sp.Ntc.  Pers. Serv.  Conf. Screen  Letters X  Duties/Supp X  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order X  Aff. Posting  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  Letters  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Estimated value of the Estate:  Real property- \$150,000.00  \$150,000.0	Hrg		or intestate. #3d or 3e of the
Aff. Posting   Status Rpt   UCCJEA   Conf.   Status Rpt   UCCJEA   Citation	Aff.Mail X		· ·
Sp.Ntc.   Pers.Serv.     Conf.   Screen     Letters   X   Duties/Supp   X     Objections   Video   Receipt     CI Report   9202     Order   X     Aff. Posting   Status Rpt   UCCJEA     Citation   Status Rpt   UCCJEA     Conf.	Aff.Pub. X		
Pers.Serv.  Conf. Screen  Letters X  Duties/Supp X  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order X  Aff. Posting  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Probate referee: Rick Smith  Probate referee: Rick Smith  Probate referee: Rick Smith  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Probate referee: Rick Smith  Probate referee: Rick Smith  A Need date of death of spouse. Local Rule 7.1.1D.  5. #5a(3) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.  6. #5a(5) or 5a(6) of the petition was not answered re: child/no child.  7. Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication.  9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates:  Recommendation:	Sp.Ntc.	Real property- \$150,000.00	
Conf. Screen  Letters X  Duties/Supp X  Objections  Video Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order X  Aff. Posting  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Probate referee: Rick Smith  4. Need date of death of spouse. Local Rule 7.1.1D.  5. #5a(3) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.  6. #5a(5) or 5a(6) of the petition was not answered re: child/no child.  7. Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication.  9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates:  Recommendation:			
Letters   X     Duties/Supp   X     Objections     Video   Receipt     9202     Order   X     Aff. Posting     Status Rpt     UCCJEA     Citation     Cobjections     Local Rule 7.1.1D.     5. #5a(3) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.     6. #5a(5) or 5a(6) of the petition was not answered re: child/no child.     7. Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.     8. Need Affidavit of Publication.     9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.     10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.     11. Need orders and letters     Reviewed on: 12/9/15     UCCJEA     Citation     Cocal Rule 7.1.1D.     5. #5a(3) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.     6. #5a(5) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.     6. #5a(5) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.     6. #5a(5) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.     6. #5a(5) or 5a(4) of the petition was not answered re: registered domestic partner.     7. Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.     8. Need Affidavit of Publication.     9. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.     10. Need Orders and Letters     8. Reviewed by: KT     Reviewed on: 12/9/15     9. Vertical Partner     9. Vertical Partner     9. Vertical Partner     1. Need orders and Liabilities     1. Need orders			
Duties/Supp   X   Objections			
Objections   Video   Receipt   CI Report   Probate referee: Rick Smith   Corder   X   Corde	Letters X		5. #5a(3) or 5a(4) of the petition was
Citation   Objections   Video   Receipt   CI Report   P202   P202   Patricipal Patrici	Duties/Supp X	Probate referee: Pick Smith	<u> </u>
Video Receipt  CI Report  P202  Order  X  Receipt  Aff. Posting  Aff. Posting  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  CI Report  CI Report  Accide of an interpetation was not answered re: child/no child.  Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  Need Affidavit of Publication.  Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Citation  CI Report  TREVIEWED TATE  TO Reviewed Tate  To Rev		Trobate referee. Rick Strillin	
Receipt  CI Report  9202  Order  X  Order  Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  7. Petitioner Neng Vang and proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication.  9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates:  Recommendation:	<del>                                   </del>		, , , , , , ,
CI Report  9202  Order  X  Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required. 8. Need Affidavit of Publication. 9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties. 10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities. 11. Need orders and letters  Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Proposed personal representative Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication. 9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties. 10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities. 11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates: Recommendation:			·
Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication.  9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Citation  Bee Pha are not listed at #8 of the petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication.  9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates:  Recommendation:			<u> </u>
Order  X  petition as required.  8. Need Affidavit of Publication.  9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Updates: Recommendation:			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
9. Need Notice of Petition to Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties. 10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities. 11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Updates: Recommendation:			
Administer the Estate with proof of service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Updates: Recommendation:			
service on all interested parties.  10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Updates: Citation  Recommendation:			
10. Need Duties and Liabilities and supplement to the Duties and Liabilities. 11. Need orders and letters  Aff. Posting  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Updates: Citation  Recommendation:			· ·
supplement to the Duties and Liabilities.  11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  Updates: Recommendation:			· ·
Liabilities. 11. Need orders and letters  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  UCCJEA  UCCJEA  Updates: Citation  Recommendation:			
Aff. Posting  Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Reviewed by: KT  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates:  Recommendation:			
Status Rpt  UCCJEA  Citation  Reviewed on: 12/9/15  Updates:  Recommendation:			11. Need orders and letters
UCCJEA Updates: Citation Recommendation:	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Citation Recommendation:	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 12/9/15
	UCCJEA		Updates:
FTB Notice File 25 - Pha	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 25 - Pha